

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1M
	09/669,664	NURISHI, RYUJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah A. Raizen	2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS**. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment and IDS of 20 October 2003.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-12 and 15-19.
3. The drawings filed on 26 September 2000 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE**.

7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No. _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed _____, which has been approved by the Examiner.
 - (c) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the margin according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. _____.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No. 1003	<input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment
<input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. Claims 1-12 and 15-19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of claims 1-12 and 15-19, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper.

2. For claims 1-11, see paragraph 20 of the Detailed Action, paper no. 11.

3. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the features in claim 12. For example, these features include the detailed structure recited including the limitations that the second lens unit is of positive refractive power and that it comprises a stationary lens element on the image side of the image-stabilizing means, in combination with all the other limitations of the claim.

4. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the features in claim 15. For example, these features include the detailed structure recited including the limitation "so as to have a component of motion in a direction perpendicular to an optical axis of said zoom lens," in combination with all the other limitations of the claim.

5. Applicant's arguments, filed October 20, 2003, with respect to the double patenting rejection of claim 15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 15 has been withdrawn.

6. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the features in claim 17. For example, these features include the detailed structure recited including the limitation "so as to have a

component of motion in a direction perpendicular to an optical axis of said zoom lens,” and the limitation “wherein a lens element of said zoom lens that is arranged to move during variation of magnification is disposed on the object side of said image-stabilizing means,” in combination with all the other limitations of the claim.

7. Applicant’s arguments, filed October 20, 2003, with respect to the double patenting rejection of claim 17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 17 has been withdrawn.

8. Claims 16, 18, and 19 depend on allowed claims and are therefore allowed as well.

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A. Raizen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. EST (a part-time schedule).

In late January, 2004, the examiner will move to the new USPTO site, at the Carlyle site in Alexandria, Virginia. Her new phone number will be (571) 272-2336.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 (please note that this number is different from the previous two numbers provided until the summer of 2003).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

dar



Scott J. Sugarman
Primary Examiner